DrVaniya.com Q & A



One *I'rāb*ic Status to Combination of Two Words



Question

فضيلة الشيخ

In:

الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ حَمْداً كَثِيراً طَيِّباً

Can حَمْداً كَثِيراً together become mawsuf for حَمْداً كَثِيراً?

DrVaniya.com

Fadīlat al-Shaykh Dr. V. Abdur Rahim (حفظه الله) replies:

In the Indian subcontinent, a combination of two words - in some cases - is treated as one with regard to $i'r\bar{a}b$.

E.g., in:

it is said that لَفُظُ is *muḍāf*, and لَفُظُ الْجَلاَلَة : الله is *muḍāf ilayhi*, and the combination of the *muḍāf* and the *muḍāf ilayhi* is the *khabar*.

In the same way in:

it is said that كتَاباً is *mawṣūf* and أب is *sifah*, and the *mawṣūf* and the *sifah* combined are the *maf^tūl bihī*.

This idea is alien to Arabic grammar.

I^crābic Status

In Arabic grammar each word has its *i'rābic* status. In the first example كتَابُ, is *marfū'*. Why? Because it is the *khabar*. It has nothing to do with the following word.

We may say الْقُرْآنُ كِتَابٌ and the sentence is complete.

قَرَأْتُ كَتَاباً جَديداً

Each word has its *i'rābic* ending which determines its *i'rābic* status. If the *i'rābic* status is to be given to a combination of two words, then the combination should have only one ending at the end of the second element.

A Sentence

Yes, a sentence may have an *i'rābic* status, e.g.:

- ٢. جَاءَنِي وَلَدٌ يَضْحَكُ.
 ٢. رَأَيْتُ وَلَداً يَضْحَكُ.

In example 1) the sentence يَضْحَكُ is fi mahalli raf^t because it is a na^t of the *marfu*^c noun .

In example 2) نيض is *fī mahalli nasb* because it is a *na^ct* of the وَلَداً manṣūb noun.

مَصْدَر مُؤَوَّل ٨

In the same way a مَصْدَر مُؤَوَّل has an *i'rābic* status. E.g.:

- أ. يَبْدُو أَنَّهُ مَرِيضٌ.
 ٢. أُرِيدُ أَنْ أَنَامَ.

In example 1) the *maṣdar muʾawwal* ٱنَّهُ مَرِيضٌ is *fī maḥalli raf* because it is the *fāʿil* of the verb يَبْدُو .

And in example 2) the *maṣdar mu'awwal* أَنْ أَنَامَ is *fī maḥalli naṣb* because it is the *mafʿūl bihī* of the verb $\hat{l}_{,,j}$.

But both these elements - a sentence and a *maşdar mu'awwal* - have no ending.

Once a noun has an ending - whether apparent or latent - it should have an *i*'rābic status.

Your idea seems to be based on this Indo-Pak thinking which, as I mentioned before, is alien to Arabic grammar.

والسلام, abdur rahim